13/10 43/10

APR 13 2006

<u>IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE</u>

EFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Re. Appéllant.:

Cory L. Factor

Serial No.

10/053,006

Filed:

January 23, 2002

For:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PROVIDING CONTENT OVER

A DISTRIBUTED NETWORK

Attorney:

Nelson R. Capes

Art Unit No.:

2143

Docket No.:

32938.1

Additional Fees:

Charge to Deposit Account 02-3732

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Sir:

TRANSMITTAL COVER LETTER

Enclosed for filing please find the following:

- 1. Fee Transmittal for FY 2005 (1 pg.);
- 2. Applicant's Appeal Brief Under 37 C.F.R. § 41.37 (9 pgs.);
- 3. A check in the amount of \$250.00; and
- 4. Postcard receipt.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 4/10/06

Nelson R. Capes (Reg. No. 37,106)

Briggs and Morgan, P.A!

2200 IDS Center

80 South Eighth Street

Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: 612-977-8486

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Appeal Brief – Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below.

By	The pre	
Date_	4/10/00	

1894000v1

612-977-8486

Telephone

Complete if Known

10/053,006

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Application Number

Effective on 12/08/2004.

Signature

Name (Print/Type)

Nelson R. Capes

purspent to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).

	A NICRI	11 T T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
FEE TRANSMITTAL				Date Ja	January 23, 2002		
PR 1 3 2006 E for	FY 20	05	First N	amed Inventor Co	Cory L. Factor		
Applicant claims sma	all entity status	. See 37 CFR 1.2	27 Examin	ner Name Pl	Phuoc H. Nguyen		
THAUGHT THAUGHT			Art Un	Art Unit 2143			
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PA	YMENT (\$)	250.00	Attorne	ey Docket No. 32	2938.1		
METHOD OF PAYMEN	IT (check all t	hat apply)					
☐ Check ☐ Credit Ca	ard Mone	y Order 🔲 Non	ie 🗌 Other ((please identify)	:		
□ Deposit Account Dep	posit Account N	Number: 02-3732		Deposit Accoun	t Name: Brigg	gs and Morgan, F	P.A.
For the above-id	dentified deposi	t account, the Dire	ector is hereby	authorized to: (ch	eck all that ap	ply)	
☐ Charge fe	ee(s) indicated	below		Charge	fee(s) indicate	ed below, except	for the filing fee
⊠ Charge a	nv additional fe	e(s) or underpayn	nents of fee(s)	Credit a	ny overpayme	ents	
Under 37	7 CFR 1.16 and	1.17				form Broyida ero	dit card
WARNING: Information on the information and authorization		come public. Credit	t card information	on snoula not be in	iciuaea on this	torm. Provide cre	uit card
FEE CALCULATION					- 		
1. BASIC FILING, SE	ARCH, AND	EXAMINATION	FEES				
•	FILING FE	ES	SEARCH		EXAMINATION FEES		
A		mall Entity	F(\$)	Small Entity		Small Entity Fee(\$)	Fees Paid (\$)
Application Type	Fee (\$) 300	Fee(\$) 150	Fee(\$) 500	<u>Fee(\$)</u> 250	<u>Fee(\$)</u> 200	100	rees raiu (v)
Utility	200	100	100	50	130	65	
Design Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	
2. EXCESS CLAIM FE		100	· ·	ū	-		Small Entity
						Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)
	Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)						25
Fee Description	cluding Reissu	ies)				200	100
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (in Each independent claim	m over 3 (inclu					2 4 2	100
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (in Each independent claim Multiple dependent claim	m over 3 (inclu aims	ding Reissues)) Fac	Daid (6)		360 Multiple l	180 Dependent Claims
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (in Each independent claim Multiple dependent cla Total Claims	m over 3 (inclu aims Extra Cla	iding Reissues) ims Fee(\$		Paid (\$)		<u>Multiple l</u>	Dependent Claims
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (in Each independent claim Multiple dependent cla Total Claims -20 or HP	m over 3 (inclu aims Extra Cla	ding Reissues) ims Fee(\$ x	_ =	e Paid (\$)			Dependent Claims
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (in Each independent clain Multiple dependent cla Total Claims -20 or HF HP = highest number of	m over 3 (inclu aims <u>Extra Cla</u> P= f total claims paid	ding Reissues) ims Fee(\$	=			<u>Multiple l</u>	Dependent Claims
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (in Each independent claim Multiple dependent cla Total Claims -20 or HF HP = highest number of Indep. Claims	m over 3 (incluaims Extra Cla P= f total claims paid Extra Cla	ding Reissues) ims Fee(\$	=	Paid (\$) Paid (\$)		<u>Multiple l</u>	Dependent Claims
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (in Each independent claim Multiple dependent claim Total Claims -20 or HF HP = highest number of	m over 3 (incluaims Extra Cla P= f total claims paid Extra Cla Extra Cla	tims Fee(\$\frac{1}{2}\text{Fee}(\$\frac{1}\text{Fee}(\$\frac{1}\text{Fee}(\$\frac{1}{2}\text{Fee}(\$\frac{1}{2}F	=			<u>Multiple l</u>	Dependent Claims
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (in Each independent claim Multiple dependent claim Total Claims -20 or HP HP = highest number of Indep. Claims HP = highest number of HP = highe	m over 3 (incluaims Extra Cla F total claims paid Extra Cla Extra Cla f independent claims	tims Fee(\$\frac{1}{2}\text{Fee}(\$\frac{1}\text{Fee}(\$\frac{1}\text{Fee}(\$\frac{1}{2}\text{Fee}(\$\frac{1}{2}F	=			<u>Multiple l</u>	Dependent Claims
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (in Each independent claim Multiple dependent claims -20 or HF HP = highest number of Indep. Claims	m over 3 (inclusions Extra Cla P = f total claims paid Extra Cla = f independent clait E FEE drawings excee	tims Fee(\$\frac{1}{2}\text{Fee}(\$\frac{1}\text{Fee}(\$\frac{1}{2}\text{Fee}(\$\frac{1}{2}\tex	=	Paid (\$)	iled sequence	Multiple I Fee (\$) or computer	Dependent Claims Fee Paid (\$
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (in Each independent claim Multiple dependent claim Multiple dependent claim Multiple dependent claims -20 or HP HP = highest number of Indep. Claims If the specification and of Instings under 3°	m over 3 (inclusions Extra Cla Companies of the claim	tims Fee(\$\frac{1}{2}\text{Fee}(\$\frac{1}\text{Fee}(\$\frac{1}{2}\text{Fee}(\$\frac{1}F	=	g electronically fi \$250 (\$125 for sn	iled sequence nall entity) for	Multiple I Fee (\$) or computer	Dependent Claims Fee Paid (\$
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (in Each independent claim Multiple dependent claim Multiple dependent claims -20 or HP HP = highest number of Indep. Claims -3 or HP HP = highest number of Indep. Claims Sheets or fraction and of Indep. Indep. Indep. Claims The specification and of Indep. Inde	m over 3 (inclusions Extra Cla Companies of the companies	tims Fee(\$\frac{1}{2}\text{Tee}(\$\frac{1}\text{Tee}(\$\frac{1}{2}\text{Tee}(\$\frac{1}{2}\tex	=	g electronically fi \$250 (\$125 for sn	nall entity) for	Multiple Fee (\$) or computer r each additional	Dependent Claims Fee Paid (\$)
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (in Each independent claim Multiple dependent claim Multiple dependent claims -20 or HP HP = highest number of Indep. Claims HP = highest number of Indep. Claims HP = highest number of Indep. Claims Total Steets Total Sheets	m over 3 (inclusions Extra Cla Extra Cla Extra Cla Extra Cla Extra Cla f independent clai FEE drawings excee 7 CFR 1.52(e)) on thereof. See a Extra Shee	tims Fee(\$\frac{1}{2}\text{Y} \text{Terms} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	=	g electronically fi \$250 (\$125 for sn FR 1.16(s).	nall entity) for action there	Multiple Fee (\$) or computer r each additional	Dependent Claims Fee Paid (\$)
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (in Each independent claim Multiple dependent claim Multiple dependent claims	m over 3 (inclusions Extra Cla Companies of the companies	tims Fee(\$\frac{1}{2}\text{Tee}(\$\frac{1}\text{Tee}(\$\frac{1}{2}\text{Tee}(\$\frac{1}{2}\tex	=	g electronically fi \$250 (\$125 for sn	nall entity) for action there	Multiple Fee (\$) or computer r each additional	Dependent Claims Fee Paid (\$) 50 Fee Paid (\$) =
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (in Each independent claim Multiple dependent claim Multiple dependent claim Multiple dependent claims	m over 3 (inclusions mover 3 (inclusions Extra Cla) Extra Cla Extra Cla Extra Cla findependent clait FFEE drawings exceet 7 CFR 1.52(e)) on thereof. See 1 Extra Sheet	ding Reissues) Ims Fee(\$	=	g electronically fi \$250 (\$125 for sn FR 1.16(s). litional 50 or fra to a whole num	nall entity) for action there	Multiple Fee (\$) or computer r each additional	Fee Paid (\$) Fee Paid (\$)
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (in Each independent claim Multiple dependent claim Multiple dependent claims	m over 3 (inclusions Extra Cla Extra Cla Extra Cla Extra Cla Extra Cla Findependent clai FFE FFE FOR 1.52(e)) To thereof. See a Extra Shee Decification, \$13	tims Fee(\$\frac{1}{2}\text{Y} \text{Terms} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	=	g electronically fi \$250 (\$125 for sn FR 1.16(s). Itional 50 or fra to a whole num	nall entity) for action there	Multiple Fee (\$) or computer r each additional	Dependent Claims Fee Paid (\$) 50 Fee Paid (\$) =

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Registration No.

(Attorney/Agent)

37,106

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE SEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

pellant:

Cory L. Factor

Serial No.:

10/053,006

Filed:

January 23, 2002

For:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PROVIDING CONTENT OVER

A DISTRIBUTED NETWORK

Confirmation No.:

1220

Attorney:

Gerald E. Helget

Attorney

Docket No.:

32938.1

Additional Fees:

Charge to Deposit Account 023732

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

APPELLANT'S APPEAL BRIEF UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 41.37

Appellant, by his attorney, submits one copy of this Appeal Brief, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 41.37 in further of the Appeal, the notice of which was filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office on February 9, 2006 from the Final Rejection of claims 1-16 of the above-identified application, as set forth in the Final Office Action mailed on October 19, 2005. Appellant respectfully requests consideration and reversal of the Examiner's rejections of the pending claims.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date indicated below.

04/13/2006 HDESTA1 00000057 10053006

01 FC:2402

250.00 OP

By /lelk Capac Date 1/10/06

1892190v2

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is Cory L. Factor.

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Applicant is unaware of any related appeals or interferences that may have a bearing on the Board's decision in the present appeal.

III. STATUS OF CLAIMS

The present application was filed on January 18, 2002 with claims 1-16. A non-final Office Action was mailed May 5, 2005. A Final Office Action was mailed October 19, 2005. Claims 1-16 stand twice rejected, remain pending, and are the subject of the present Appeal.

IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

No amendments have been made since the Final Office Action.

V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The present invention is a method for providing content in at least one electronic format to a distributed network, said method comprising:

providing the content in the at least one electronic format to a computer (Fig. 1, page 6);

capturing the content as an electronic file on the computer (Fig. 2, page 7);

providing indicia in a separate electronic file for segmenting the electronic file into video segments in an electronic format to the computer (Fig. 2, pages 7-8);

associating the identifying indicia with the electronic file (Fig. 2, page 7-8);

using the indicia to convert the file into video segments in a format suitable for distribution over the distributed network (Fig. 2, page 8); and

providing the file and associated identifying indicia to a server accessible over the distributed network (Fig. 2, page 8).

VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Claims 1-16 were rejected as anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) by U.S. 6,516,090 (Lennon).

VIII. ARGUMENT

A. Claims 1-16 are not anticipated by Lennon.

A single prior art reference anticipates a claimed invention only if it discloses each and every claim element.¹

1. Separate argument as to claims 1 and 8.

Lennon does not disclose the following claimed elements:

A method for providing content in at least one electronic format to a distributed network.

Lennon is a method of interpreting a digital video signal. (Abstract) There is no disclosure of a distributed network.

providing indicia in a separate electronic file for segmenting the electronic file into video segments in an electronic format to the computer.

The Office Action identifies element 110C of Lennon as providing indicia in a separate electronic file. However, there is no disclosure of a separate electronic file.

associating the identifying indicia with the electronic file.

At Col. 5, lines 39-48, Lennon indicates that identifying indicia (Additional information 110C) is already available in the digital video source input 110, so there is no need to associate such identifying indicia with the electronic file in which the video content has been captured.

using the indicia to convert the file into video segments in a format suitable for distribution over the distributed network.

¹ Structural Rubber Prod. Co. v. Park Rubber Co., 749 F.2d 707, 223 USPQ 1264 (Fed. Cir. 1984)

There is no disclosure in Lennon of the format into which the video segments are converted, and specifically no disclosure of a format suitable for distribution over the distributed network.

providing the file and associated identifying indicia to a server accessible over the distributed network.

There is no disclosure in Lennon of any such step. Lennon is a method of interpreting a digital video signal (Abstract), not a method of distributing video segments over a distributed network. The processes of Lennon take place entirely within a single computer (Fig. 1); there is no server involved.

2. Separate argument as to claims 3, 10, an 14.

There is no disclosure in Lennon of providing hypertext links in the identifying indicia. Because the video content of Lennon is not intended to be distributed over the internet, there is no provision for hyperlinks.

3. Separate argument as to claims 4, 6, 11, and 13.

There is no disclosure in Lennon of a distributed network or internet or hypertext links. Lennon is a method of interpreting a digital video signal (Abstract), not a method of distributing video segments over a distributed network.

In regard to the claims not specifically argued separately, Appellant contends that they contain elements of limitations beyond an allowable independent claim and are also allowable.

In view of the foregoing, Appellant asks the Board to overturn the Examiner's rejections and allow all claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 4/10/06

Nelson R. Capes (Reg. No. 37,106)

Briggs and Morgan, P.A

2200 IDS Center

80 South Eighth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone: (612) 977-8486

CLAIMS APPENDIX

The claims on appeal:

1. (rejected) A method for providing content in at least one electronic format to a distributed network, said method comprising:

providing the content in the at least one electronic format to a computer;

capturing the content as an electronic file on the computer;

providing indicia in a separate electronic file for segmenting the electronic file into video segments in an electronic format to the computer;

associating the identifying indicia with the electronic file;

using the indicia to convert the file into video segments in a format suitable for distribution over the distributed network; and

providing the file and associated identifying indicia to a server accessible over the distributed network.

- 2. (original) The method of claim 1 wherein the content comprises a live video feed.
- 3. (rejected) The method of claim 2 wherein the identifying indicia comprises metadata including start and stop times and hypertext links.
- 4. (rejected) The method of claim 1 wherein the distributed network is the internet and the identifying indicia comprises metadata including start and stop times and hypertext links.
- 5. (original) The method of claim 1 wherein the content includes a live video feed and at least additional content in at least one additional format from the group including text, audio, or graphics.
- 6. (original) The method of claim 5 wherein the distributed network is the internet and the identifying indicia comprises metadata including hypertext links.
- 7. (original) The method of claim 1 wherein the content is a television news broadcast and includes information in video format and at least additional content in at least one additional format from the group including text, audio, or graphics.

8. (rejected) A computer program stored in electronic format for providing content to a distributed network, said program being provided for being loaded onto a computer and said program:

receiving the content in the at least one electronic format;

capturing the content as an electronic file on the computer;

providing indicia in a separate electronic file for segmenting the electronic file into video segments in an electronic format;

associating the identifying indicia with the electronic file;

using the indicia to convert the file to a format suitable for distribution over the distributed network; and

providing the file and associated identifying indicia to a server accessible over the distributed network.

- 9. (original) The program of claim 8 wherein the content comprises a live video feed.
- 10. (rejected) The program of claim 9 wherein the identifying indicia comprises metadata including start and stop times and hypertext links.
- 11. (rejected) The program of claim 8 wherein the distributed network is the internet and the identifying indicia comprises metadata including start and stop times and hypertext links.
- 12. (original) The program of claim 8 wherein the content includes a live video feed and at least additional content in at least one additional format from the group including text, audio, or graphics.
- 13. (original) The program of claim 12 wherein the distributed network is the internet and the identifying indicia comprises metadata including hypertext links.
- 14. (original) The program of claim 12 wherein the identifying indicia comprises metadata including hypertext links.
- 15. (original) The program of claim 14 wherein the distributed network is the internet.

16. (original) The program of claim 8 wherein the content is a television news broadcast and includes information in video format and at least additional content in at least one additional format from the group including text, audio, or graphics.

EVIDENCE APPENDIX

None.

RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

None.